organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

A. Suvitha,^a* Babu Varghese^b and M. N. Sudheendra Rao^a

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology–Madras, Chennai 600 036, India, and ^bSophisticated Analytical Instruments Facility, Indian Institute of Technology–Madras, Chennai 600 036, India

Correspondence e-mail: hvc_suvi@yahoo.co.in

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 296 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.005 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.058 wR factor = 0.202 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Bis(diisobutylammonium) tris(naphthalene-2,3-diolato)silicate acetonitrile trisolvate

In the title compound, $2C_8H_{20}N^+ \cdot C_{30}H_{18}O_{26}Si^{2-} \cdot 3C_2H_3N$, the oxygen coordination around silicon is slighty distorted octahedral. $N-H\cdots O$ and $N-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bonds are effective in stabilizing the molecular structure.

Received 28 November 2005 Accepted 16 December 2005 Online 23 December 2005

Comment

Single-crystal X-ray structures of dianionic six-coordinate silicates with ligands such as citric, malic and salicylic acids were reported recently (Tacke *et al.*, 2004; Seiler *et al.*, 2002). Among aromatic diols only tris(catecholato)silicates with different ammonium counter-cations were known (Bindu *et al.*, 2003; Sackerer & Nagorsen, 1977). For 2,3-dihydroxy-naphthalene, a structural study of five-coordinate silicon complexes has been reported (Tacke *et al.*, 1995). The title compound, (I), is the first example of a dianionic six-coordinate silicate of 2,3-dihydroxynaphthalene.



Diffraction quality crystals were obtained by cooling a concentrated acetonitrile solution of the title compound, (I), in a refrigerator for a period of seven days. The sample was mounted with mother liquor in a Lindemann capillary tube as the crystal was found to be unstable in air. The asymmetric unit consists of a tris(2,3-dihydroxynaphthalato)silicate anion with two diisobutylammonium cations and three molecules of acetonitrile (Fig. 1).

The oxygen coordination around silicon is slightly distorted octahedral, with ligand bite angles O1-Si1-O2 = 87.93 (10) Å, O3-Si1-O4 = 87.79 (9) Å and O5-Si1-O6 = 88.83 (10) Å. The counter-cations form N-H···O hydrogen bonds with O atoms of the anion. One of the solvent acetonitrile molecules forms an N-H···N hydrogen bond with the cation (Table 1). However, there is no extended hydrogen bonding running through the crystal structure (Fig. 2). The six-coordination of Si was also confirmed spectroscopically, using ²⁹Si NMR, which gave a peak at -143.9 p.p.m. ESI-MS spectra gives*m*/*z*corresponding to a molecular ion peak (*M*+ 1) at 763 (100%), which corresponds to the unsolvated complex.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level. The hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted. Only one disorder component is shown.

Experimental

Diisobutylamine (0.3 ml, 1.88 mmol) was added to a stirred acetonitrile solution of 2,3-dihydroxynaphthalene (0.45 g, 2.85 mmol) under a nitrogen atmosphere. To this solution, tetraethoxysilane (0.2 ml, 0.94 mmol) was added as neat liquid over a period of 5 min at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 5 h and then the precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to yield the title compound as a white powder (*ca* 70%). Some more of the compound was recovered by cooling the concentrated filtrate to 273 K for 1 d (yield 0.64 g, 80%; m.p. 550 K). Analysis calculated (MW 762.0): C 72.4, H 7.61, N 3.67%; found: C 73.94, H 7.71, N 3.33%. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2962, 2931, 2868, 1587, 1472,1261, 1167,1108,871, 739, 693, 638, 586, 485. ¹H NMR (p.p.m.): δ 0.90 (*d*, 6H), 2.13 (sep, 1H), 2.98 (*d*, 2H), 6.75 (*s*, 2H), 7.05 (*m*, 2H), 7.44 (*m*, 2H);¹³C NMR (p.p.m.): δ 20.3, 26.1, 56.2, 104.7, 122.3, 126.3, 130.6, 153.5; ²⁹Si NMR (p.p.m.): δ –143.9.

Crystal data

$2C_8H_{20}N^+ \cdot C_{30}H_{18}O_6Si^{2-} \cdot 3C_2H_3N$	Z = 2
$M_r = 886.20$	$D_x = 1.144 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 9.919 (4) Å	Cell parameters from 25
b = 12.701 (9) Å	reflections
c = 21.568 (14) Å	$\theta = 20-25^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 104.74 \ (6)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.81 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 94.24 \ (4)^{\circ}$	T = 296 (2) K
$\gamma = 99.59 \ (5)^{\circ}$	Block, colorless
$V = 2572$ (3) $Å^3$	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm



Figure 2

A packing diagram of (I). The hydrogen bonds are shown by dashed lines. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted. Only one disorder component is shown.

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North <i>et al.</i> , 1968) $T_{min} = 0.825$, $T_{max} = 0.851$ 9945 measured reflections 9358 independent reflections 5541 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	$R_{int} = 0.064$ $\theta_{max} = 68.0^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -25 \rightarrow 25$ 2 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity decay: none
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.059$ $wR(F^2) = 0.202$ S = 1.04 9358 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.125P)^{2} + 0.0791P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho = 0.38 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
577 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

H-atom parameters constrained

Table 1Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N1-H1B\cdotsO3$ $N2-H2A\cdotsO2^{i}$ $N2-H2B\cdotsN3^{i}$	0.90	1.90	2.778 (3)	164
	0.90	1.97	2.857 (3)	171
	0.90	2.18	3.056 (5)	163

Extinction correction: SHELXL97

Extinction coefficient: 0.0012 (3)

Symmetry code: (i) x - 1, y, z.

H atoms were positioned geometrically [N-H = 0.90 Å, and C-H = 0.93 and 0.98 (CH), 0.97 (CH₂), and 0.96 Å (CH₃)] and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$. The N atom of one of the acetonitrile molecules was twofold disordered. The occupancies of the disprdered positions were refined keeping their sums as unity. As the refinement showed the occupancies to be 0.5, the occupancies were fixed at 0.5 in the final refinement.

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1996); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare

et al., 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

We thank the Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility of our institute for the single-crystal X-ray data collection.

References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.
- Bindu, P., Varghese, B. & Rao, M. N. S. (2003). Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 178, 2373–2386.

- Enraf–Nonius (1989). *CAD-4 Software*. Version 5.0. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1996). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany. North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.
- Sockerer, D. & Nagorsen, G. (1977). Z. Anorg. Allge. Chem. 437, 188–192.
- Seiler, O., Burschka, C., Penka, M. & Tacke, R. (2002). Silicon Chem. 1, 355– 365.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Tacke, R., Bertermann, R., Burschka, C. & Dragota, S. (2004). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 630, 2006–2012.
- Tacke, R., Muchleisen, M., Lopez-Mras, A. & Sheldrick, W. S. (1995). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 621, 779–788.